	BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE & AX	ELROD LLP
1	Robert W. Turken (pro hac vice)	
2	Scott N. Wagner (pro hac vice)	
	Lori P. Lustrin (pro hac vice)	
3	Shalia M. Sakona (pro hac vice)	
4	Jerry R. Goldsmith (pro hac vice)	
_	1450 Brickell Avenue Suite 2300	
5	Miami, FL 33131-3456	
6	Telephone: (305) 374-7580	
_	Email: rturken@bilzin.com	
7	Email: swagner@bilzin.com	
8	Email: llustrin@bilzin.com	
9	Email: ssakona@bilzin.com	
9	Email: jgoldsmith@bilzin.com	
10	Attorneys for Benchmark Electronics	
11	Attorneys for Benchmark Electronics	
11		
12		
13		
	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14		
15	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
1.6		
16	In re Capacitors Antitrust Litigation	C - N 17 - 1 02001 ID
17		Case No. 17-md-02801-JD
18		
10	This document relates to:	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
19	Benchmark Electronics, Inc.; Benchmark	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
20	Electronics Huntsville, Inc.; Benchmark	
	Electronics Manufacturing Solutions	
21	(Moorpark), Inc.; Benchmark Electronics	
22	Manufacturing Solutions, Inc.; Benchmark	
	Electronics Phoenix, Inc.; Benchmark Electronics Tijuana, S. de R.L. de C.V.; and	
23	Benchmark Electronics De Mexico S. de	
24	R.L. de C.V, Case No. 17-cv-7047	
25		
23		
26		
26		

1	Plaintiffs Benchmark Electronics,	Inc., Benchmark Electronics Huntsville, Inc.,	
2	Benchmark Electronics Manufacturing Solu	ntions (Moorpark), Inc., Benchmark Electronics	
3	Manufacturing Solutions, Inc., Benchmark I	Electronics Phoenix, Inc., Benchmark Electronics	
4	Tijuana, S. de R.L. de C.V. and Benchma	ark Electronics de Mexico S. de R.L. de C.V.,	
5	(collectively, "Benchmark") and Defendants R	OHM Co., Ltd. and ROHM Semiconductor, U.S.A.,	
6	LLC (together "ROHM") pursuant to Rule 4	41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
7	hereby stipulate to the dismissal of the present	action with prejudice and state as follows:	
8	Benchmark and ROHM seek to 1.	the dismissal of this action against ROHM with	
9	prejudice.		
10	2. Benchmark and ROHM agree	e that each party shall bear its own costs and	
11	attorneys' fees in connection with these actions.		
12	3. This stipulation does not affect	t the rights or claims of Benchmark against any	
13	other defendant or alleged co-conspirator in this litigation.		
14	WHEREFORE, the parties respectful	ally request that this Court issue an Order of	
15	Dismissal against ROHM only.		
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED		
17	Dated: June 27, 2019		
18			
19			
20	<u>/s/ Scott N. Wagner</u> Robert W. Turken (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	<u>/s/ Michael F. Tubach</u> Michael F. Tubach (CA Bar No. 145955)	
21	Scott N. Wagner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Lori P. Lustrin (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Kenneth O'Rourke (CA Bar No. 120144) Megan Havstad (CA Bar No. 287938)	
22	Shalia M. Sakona (admitted pro hac vice)	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
23	Jerry R. Goldsmith (admitted pro hac vice) BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE &	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
24	AXELROD LLP 1450 Brickell Ave., Suite 2300	mtubach@omm.com Korourke@omm.com	
25	Miami, Florida 33131-3456 Telephone: 305-374-7580	mhavstad@omm.com Telephone: (415) 984-8700	
26	Facsimile: 305-374-7593 rturken@bilzin.com	Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 Counsel for Defendants ROHM Co., Ltd. and	
	110011011 0 0112111.00111	Comiser for Defending Rolling Co., Liu. and	

ROHM Semiconductor U.S.A., LLC

27

28

swagner@bilzin.com

llustrin@bilzin.com

	ssakona@bilzin.com	
1	jgoldsmith@bilzin.com	
2	Counsel for Benchmark	
3		
4		
5	ECF ATTESTATION	
6	I, Scott N. Wagner, an ECF User whose ID and Password are being used to file	
7	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL.	
8	In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1, I hereby attest that counsel for has concurred in this filing.	
9		
10		
11	DATED: June 27, 2019	
12	By:/s/Scott N. Wagner	
13	Scott N. Wagner	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		
۷۵	GENEVA AEVONA AND EDD OD OGED A OD DED OF DAGA GOLD	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL 17-md-2801-JD

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	\mathcal{A}
3	Dated: July 18, 2019
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2425	
26	
20	

27

28